

**AAA INSTRUCTIONS ON PREPARING ABSTRACTS AND EXTENDED
ABSTRACTS FOR THE PROCEEDINGS
(Updated Fall 2013)**

Instructions:

Here are examples of how to set up both an Abstract and Extended Abstract for the AAA Proceedings. How do these two abstracts differ? Abstracts tend to be up to 1-2 pages and typically do not have references. Extended abstracts can be up to 4 pages in length and typically provide more details about the method and results than an Abstract. Extended Abstracts typically have references too, which are to be put in Journal of Advertising style at the end of the Extended Abstract. Abstracts and Extended abstracts do NOT have tables.

Please use this sample as a template for setting up your **ABSTRACT** or **EXTENDED ABSTRACT** for the AAA Proceedings. Abstracts and Extended abstracts can be up to 4 pages in length total. If you have references, use Journal of Advertising style and put all references together at the end of the abstract (see below). Follow this formatting exactly. Abstracts and Extended abstracts that do not conform to this formatting will be sent back to the authors(s) to be modified. Refer all questions to the Vice President.

**EXAMPLE #1: ABSTRACT (Shorter abstract with no references)
(Formatting Starts Below)**

**COMPARING THE PERSUASIVENESS OF IND AND COL AD APPEALS
BETWEEN CHINESE X-GEN AND OLDER CONSUMERS**

Jing Zhang, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI

Abstract

In two experiments, Chinese X-Gen consumers (young urban professionals) were found to be persuaded equally by individualistic and collectivistic advertising appeals, whereas their older counterparts were more persuaded by collectivistic appeals. Moreover, this across-generation difference in persuasion emerged for shared products and not for personal-use products. For the latter, both X-Gen and older consumers were more persuaded by individualistic appeals. These findings are interpreted in the context of globalization and the rising biculturalism in China.

**EXAMPLE #2: ABSTRACT (longer abstract with references)
(Formatting starts below)**

MODEL'S RACE: EXPLICIT AND IMPLICIT ATTITUDE MEASURES

Eunsun Lee, KT Co., Seongnam City, Korea
Steven M. Edwards, Southern Methodist University, Dallas TX
Carrie La Ferle, Southern Methodist University, Dallas TX

Abstract

Many advertising studies have reported the benefits of using ethnic cues in ads when targeting specific ethnic groups (Aaker, Brumbaugh, and Grier 2000; Briley, Shrum, and Wyer 2007; Deshpande and Stayman 1994; Dimofte, Forehand, and Deshpande 2003; Forehand and Deshpande 2001; Whittler 1989; Whittler and DiMeo 1991; Wooten 1995). In addition, several studies have shown that ethnic minority cues do not seem to detract from the effectiveness of ads targeted toward broader populations. (Bush, Gwinner, and Solomon 1972; Solomon, Bush, and Hair; Whittler 1989). However, self-report measures by members of different ethnic groups in response to these ethnic cues may be distorted by a desire for socially acceptable responses (Brunel, Tietje and Greenwald 2004; Petty, Fleming, and White 1999; White and Harkins 1994). Therefore, the presented research compared explicit with implicit responses toward ads using ethnic minority models in ads to determine the viability of holding dual-attitudes toward the same stimulus.

This study tested both Caucasian and African American respondents' attitudes toward ads with models' of different ethnic backgrounds. Additionally, the study examined the role of social-desirability in reporting attitudes in the form of response latencies. We investigated if prejudice could be detected by examining explicit attitudes (subject to self-presentation) in relation to implicit attitudes (not subject to self-presentation).

First explicit attitudes were examined to see if we could replicate previous findings that indicate the benefits of using minority models in ads. In contrast to previous research, the current study failed to find the desire for ethnic cues by African Americans reported in Whittler and DiMeo's (1991) earlier study. Instead both African and Caucasian Americans reported similar attitudes toward ads with either African or Caucasian American models. A similar pattern was found when examining response latencies. Both African Americans and Caucasian Americans spent about the same time evaluating ads with either African American or Caucasian American models. This finding suggests that social desirability was not a distinguishing factor between the respondents in this study. Given the immediacy of action that was required in the task, it seems likely that neither group of participants were disguising their responses. While the results indicate that model's race may not matter in the formation of attitude toward an ad for this particular population, research that supports the null should always be interpreted with extreme caution. Differences between the groups may exist, but may not have been captured in the current study.

Overall, the research examined a new measure in the ethnic minority advertising literature that may influence attitudes. While there were no differences detected between explicit and implicit attitude measures in this study, future research should examine this area in more detail with varied age groups, ethnicities, genders and product categories to determine the extent to which dual attitudes toward an object are held and monitored, and the extent to which underlying prejudices actually have larger effects on purchase decisions.

References

- Aaker, Jennifer, Ann M. Brumbaugh, and Sonya Grier (2000), "Non-Target Markets and Viewer Distinctiveness: The Impact of Target Marketing on Advertising Attitudes," *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 9 (3), 127-40.
- Briley, Donnel A., L. J. Shrum, and Robert S. Wyer, Jr. (2007), "Subjective Impressions of Minority Group Representation in the Media: A Comparison of Majority and Minority Viewers' Judgments and Underlying Processes," *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 17 (1), 36-48.

EXAMPLE: EXTENDED ABSTRACT **(Formatting starts below)**

PASSIVE-ACTIVE ADVERTISING AVOIDANCE IN CHINA

Douglas West, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
Gerard Prendergast, Hong Kong Baptist University, Hong Kong, China
Wah-Leung Cheung, Hong Kong Baptist University, Hong Kong, China

Extended Abstract

Attitude towards advertising has received considerable research attention as a variable explaining advertising avoidance (Speck and Elliot 1997). However, several other potentially important psychological variables have not been used to explain advertising avoidance. Two such useful factors are the presence of others and perceived time pressure. The presence of others and time pressure might be described as passive factors because to a certain extent these psychological variables are beyond the ad viewer's control. If such passive factors are influential, consumers may avoid advertising not necessarily because they want to, but because their environment forces them to. In contrast to presence of others and perceived time pressure, attitudes towards advertising is an active variable relating to advertising avoidance.

Looking first at the presence of others, an important psychological influence on advertising avoidance might be how important consumers consider those around them to be, relative to the advertising. Certain situational influences, including the social surroundings, have long been known to effect consumer behavior (Belk 1974, 1975). More than 200 studies apply elements of Belk's taxonomy of situational influences, but those which focus on social surroundings are primarily in relation to purchasing behavior. By analogy with purchasing behavior, social surroundings might also impact whether or not consumers pay attention to advertising. This influence is termed the "presence of others". The presence of others could serve as a general measure of people's intention to interact with family or friends around them rather than attending to commercials. The impact of presence of others might be expected to depend on how filial or friendly people are with the others present. In theory, paying attention to friends and family should normally have a higher priority than paying attention to advertising and peoples' preference for respecting those around them rather than the advertising may be an underlying explanation for why advertising is avoided in such circumstances. Consistent with this notion, Moriarty and Everett (1994) report that during TV commercial breaks, talking by viewers increases 40%.

If this mechanism is correct, the presence of others is more likely to influence consumers to avoid ads in broadcast media than in print media, because print media require focus (most people do not read and talk with others simultaneously). Conceptually, therefore, the presence of others is logically excluded as a predictor of advertising avoidance in the context of newspapers and magazines. Consistent with this logic, Speck and Elliott (1997) argue that with broadcast media, during advertisements people focus on things outside the medium (such as conversation) and then return their attention to the medium when the ad break is over. But with print media, people attend to other material in the media as a way to avoid ads, rather than engage in conversation with others.

Since increased time pressure results in consumers trying to improve their market place efficiency (Mittal 1994), consumers under time pressure will be more likely to avoid advertising (which may be seen as discretionary rather than compulsory listening, reading or viewing). In short, filtration occurs and consumers become more selective in the face of time constraints. However the effect of time pressure on advertising avoidance may not be so clear cut. If one assumes that consumers have a positive view of advertising, then such consumers may view advertising as a means of saving time by informing them about products and services and reducing search (Heyder, Musiol, and Peters 1992). Despite these opposing forces, since absorbing advertising requires time, those who are under time pressure are more likely to be advertising avoiders. Specifically, time pressure constrains the consumer's ability and willingness to process information, resulting in a more heuristic processing style and the avoidance of advertising.

The issues addressed are (1) Does the presence of others relate positively with the avoidance of advertising in broadcast media? (2) Does perceived time pressure relate positively with the avoidance of advertising in all media? (3) Will people who hold more negative attitudes towards advertising have a higher rate of avoidance of advertising in all media?

Turning to method, within China, Shanghai was chosen as the location for the study primarily because Shanghai is often referred to as a leading indicator for the rest of China. After conducting two focus groups, a quota sample of 420 was constructed due to the constraints on random sampling in China. The quotas were based on sex and age. Equal numbers of male and female respondents, and of different age groups, were interviewed. Mall intercepts were used to find the target interviewees. Only interviewees who had been exposed to TV, radio, newspapers, and magazines in the past 3 months were interviewed. (Though virtually everyone approached met this criterion.) The interviews were conducted in Chinese following questionnaire development in English and then translation into Chinese through a translation and back-translation procedure.

The questionnaire was designed to identify each consumer's level of advertising avoidance with respect to the two broadcast media and the two print media, and the role of the presence of others, attitudes towards advertising in general, and feelings of time pressure in influencing their advertising avoidance behavior. Six-point scales were used to avoid central tendency and for higher discriminatory power. The data were analyzed using hierarchical regression. This technique was used to estimate the incremental variance associated with the blocks of variables.

The results show that the presence of others is significant in explaining avoidance of television and radio ads. Time pressure was found to be significant for broadcast media, but not print media. Finally, attitude towards advertising was found to be a significant predictor of advertising avoidance across all media.

The primary discussion elements suggest that the presence of others partly explains the avoidance of advertising in broadcast media. In effect, friends and family create noise in the marketing communication process. Advertising communications need to be engaging and personal to challenge the tendency to talk to people in the room. A simple solution to this problem would be desirable, but this influence of the presence of others is an age-old problem, as only in the early days of television did people huddle around the TV set and avidly watch commercials for their novelty. Consumers are generally smart and savvy, and this study has shown that even in rapidly emerging markets, where advertising has a relatively short history, people prefer to use breaks to talk and interact. Magic solutions to this problem do not exist, and advertisers and agencies are hardly going to find these findings a revelation, as no one expects commercials to be watched with complete attention.

The second main finding from this study is that consumers who perceive themselves to be under greater time pressure will engage in greater avoidance of advertising in the broadcast media. Broadcasts are externally paced in the sense that the medium controls the transmission rate. As such, advertisers need to be aware of the limitations on the length and complexity of the broadcast messages that can attract attention. Advertisements that absorb less versus more time are less likely to be avoided. Perhaps broadcast advertising's role changing from a primary to a support role is unsurprising. Broadcast ads increasingly direct consumers to a print ad or Web site for more detailed information as the market place shifts in general from push to pull communications.

There are a number of limitations within the study and of course the focus has been on China. However, the study shows that the primary difficulty with passive factors is the relative inability of marketers to influence them. Nevertheless by simply looking at the active factors and attitudes held towards advertising relative to the passive factors, there is a greater opportunity for marketers to reduce advertising avoidance. The study shows that attitudes towards advertising have a powerful role in explaining advertising avoidance in all media. The attitude towards advertising constructs in this study measures attitudes towards all advertising rather than being media-specific, so the findings suggest that the advertising industry needs collectively to consider ways for generating a more positive attitude towards advertising—which may be a tall order to address. Yet if advertising were to strive to become ever more engaging and personal, there might be an improvement in attitudes towards advertising and in turn a reduction in advertising avoidance. This is unfortunately a topic where the issues underlying the problem look to be a lot easier to identify than the solution.

References

- Belk, Russell W. (1974), "An Exploratory Assessment of Situational Effects in Buyer Behavior," *Journal of Marketing Research*, 1 (2), 156-63.
- (1975), "Situational Variables and Consumer Behavior," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 2 (3), 157-64.
- Heyder, Hans, Carl George Musiol, and Klaus Peters (1992), "Advertising in Europe: Attitudes towards Advertising in Certain Key East and West European Countries," *Marketing and Research Today*, 20 (1), 58-67.
- Mittal, Banwari (1994), "An Integrated Framework for Relating Diverse Consumer Characteristics to Supermarket Coupon Redemption," *Journal of Marketing Research*, 31, 533-44.
- Moriarty, Sandra E., and Shu-Ling Everett (1994), "Commercial Breaks: A Viewing Behaviour Study," *Journalism Quarterly*, 71, 346-55.